



## Washington County Opioid Settlement Funds Proposal Scoring Matrix

Criteria	3 – Excellent	2 – Above Average	1 – Average	0 – Unsatisfactory	Score
Proposal clearly addresses an approved use from <a href="#">Exhibit E, Schedule B of the Missouri Department of Mental Health’s Detailed list of Approved Uses for Opioid Remediation</a>	Clearly identifies how proposal will address <b>one</b> (or more) of the approved use areas. Alignment with proposal is clear, relevant, and impactful.	Some alignment between the proposal and <b>one</b> of the approved uses.	The approved uses are mentioned but are unclear on how the proposal will address one of the approved uses.	Provides no information or correlation to how the proposal will address one of the approved uses.	
Detailed description of the plan, including timeline of services, including training, implementation, impact evaluation, and preliminary budget	<b>Specific</b> with <b>significant</b> details describing the plan, timeline, implementation needs, impact evaluation, and preliminary budget.	<b>Specific</b> with <b>some</b> details describing the plan, timeline, implementation needs, impact evaluation, and preliminary budget.	<b>General</b> statement of need but does not include supporting details.	Provides information on proposal only. No description of details provided.	
The proposal demonstrates: 1. Service to Washington County or a specific population within the county, 2. Supports and serves individuals affected by one of the priorities described above, and 3. Takes into consideration the communities/ population most at-risk and/or impacted by Opioid Use Disorder and any co-occurring substance use disorder/Mental Health issues	Proposal <b>effectively</b> demonstrates implementation in the county, supports individuals affected by one of the priorities and considers the population most at-risk of OUD/SUD/MH	Proposal <b>somewhat</b> demonstrates implementation in the county, supports individuals affected by one of the priorities and considers the population most at-risk of OUD/SUD/MH	The proposal will be implemented within the county and serves residents of the county but does not take into consideration items listed in (2) and (3) of these criteria.	The proposal has a regional scope that includes areas outside of the county or does not identify a service area.	
Innovation is apparent. Activities/strategies are creative and unique. Promising or evidence-based approaches are focused on at least one of the priority areas listed above.	<b>Highly</b> creative, unique and/or innovative. Includes promising or evidence-based approach. May establish a new model practice for others.	<b>Somewhat</b> creative, unique and/or innovative. Includes promising or evidence-based approach. May establish a new model practice for others.	<b>Few</b> components contain creative, unique, or innovative approaches. No mention of promising or evidence-based approaches.	Lacks creativity or innovation. Common request.	
Demonstration of measuring the effectiveness and impact of the project through the outline proposal timeline. Includes anticipated short-term (6-12 months) and long-term (12+ months) impact. Including an explanation.	<b>Clearly</b> describes a measurable evaluation plan, including short and long-term impacts with explanation.	Evaluation plan includes <b>some</b> measurable plan, impacts and explanation.	Evaluation plan includes few details on plan, impact, and evaluation.	No evaluation plan included.	
Overall proposal is clear and logical.	Proposal is clearly written. <b>Logical</b> alignment between priorities, goals, and activities.	Proposal is somewhat clear. <b>Some</b> alignment between priorities, goals, and activities.	<b>Parts</b> of proposal are <b>unclear</b> . <b>Minimal</b> alignment between priorities, goals, and activities.	Proposal is <b>unclear</b> . There is no alignment between priorities, goals, and activities.	
<b>Proposal Total Score</b>					